

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.  
Alan L. Sullivan (3152)  
Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651)  
Amy F. Sorenson (8947)  
15 West South Temple, Suite 1200  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101  
Telephone: (801) 257-1900  
Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice)  
David R. Marriott (7572)  
Worldwide Plaza  
825 Eighth Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 474-1000  
Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff  
International Business Machines Corporation*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,  
Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES  
CORPORATION,  
Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED BRIEFING  
AND HEARING**

Civil No.: 2:03CV-0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation (“IBM”), through counsel, respectfully submits this request for expedited briefing and hearing on its motion to confine SCO’s claims to, and strike allegations in excess of, its December 22, 2005 Disclosure of Material Allegedly Misused by IBM (the “Final Disclosures”), filed and served concurrently herewith.

On May 19, 2006, three of SCO’s seven experts submitted reports containing material which significantly exceed the scope of SCO’s Final Disclosures. One of the three reports, in fact, effectively seeks to reinvent the case, introducing both new categories of allegedly misused material and a new theory of recovery which relates to them. The remaining two reports add files and other material never before disclosed to IBM, which new disclosures IBM is still in the process of quantifying. SCO’s attempt to expand the scope of this litigation in violation of the Court’s July 1, 2005 Order in this way comes just weeks before IBM must submit its opposing expert reports. Moreover, if the portions of SCO’s expert reports which exceed the scope of the Final Disclosures are not stricken, IBM will have no choice but to request in the alternative substantial modifications to the scheduling order, including the reopening of fact discovery.

Accordingly, IBM respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order establishing an expedited briefing schedule for IBM’s motion to strike portions of SCO’s expert reports, as well as an expedited hearing on the motion, to be set at the Court’s convenience not later than June 30th.

DATED this 8th day of June, 2006.

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

/s/ Amy F. Sorenson

Alan L. Sullivan  
Todd M. Shaughnessy  
Amy F. Sorenson

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
Evan R. Chesler  
David R. Marriott

Of Counsel:

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION

Jennifer M. Daniels

Alec S. Berman

1133 Westchester Avenue

White Plains, New York 10604

(914) 642-3000

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff  
International Business Machines Corporation*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 8th day of June, 2006, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court and delivered by CM/ECF system to the following:

Brent O. Hatch  
Mark F. James  
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.  
10 West Broadway, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Stephen N. Zack  
Mark J. Heise  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800  
Miami, Florida 33131

and by U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid to:

Robert Silver  
Edward Normand  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
333 Main Street  
Armonk, NY 10504

/s/ Amy F. Sorenson